

**Homework 3**

1. Determine how the vorticity equation for inviscid barotropic flow

$$\frac{D\omega_i}{Dt} = (\vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla)u_i - (\nabla \cdot \vec{u})\omega_i.$$

simplifies for two dimensional flows. That is, assume that  $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ ,  $\vec{u} = (u, v, 0)$ ,  $\vec{\omega} = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  and that all variables are independent of  $z$ .

- (a) Derive (trivial) equations for  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , and the equation for  $D\zeta/Dt$ .  
 (b) Under what additional conditions is  $\zeta$  conserved along a Lagrangian trajectory following the flow?

2. Show that for inviscid barotropic flow

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \left( \frac{\omega_i}{\rho} \right) = e_{ij} \left( \frac{\omega_j}{\rho} \right).$$

where  $e_{ij}$  is the strain rate tensor

$$e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

*Hint:* As part of the derivation, it will be helpful to decompose  $\partial u_i / \partial x_j$  into  $e_{ij}$  and an anti-symmetric piece that can be simplified using the relation

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\omega_k.$$

You may use this last relation without pausing to prove it in your derivation. [It can be proved easily using the identity (2.19) on p. 36 of Kundu and Cohen.]

*Interpretation:* your result implies that the changes in  $\vec{\omega}/\rho$  following the flow are due to stretching and tilting of the vortex lines as the fluid element deforms. (See p. 58 of Kundu and Cohen for a discussion and interpretation of the strain rate tensor.) In fact as proved by Cauchy, the changes in  $\vec{\omega}/\rho$  due to flow deformation are proportional to those undergone by an infinitesimal segment of the vortex line  $\vec{\delta\ell}$  at the same point in the fluid.

3. Use summation notation to derive the vector identity

$$\nabla \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \nabla \cdot \vec{b} + (\vec{b} \cdot \nabla) \vec{a} - \vec{b} \nabla \cdot \vec{a} - (\vec{a} \cdot \nabla) \vec{b},$$

which was used in the derivation of the vorticity equation in class and on p. 136 of Kundu and Cohen.

4. The *nondivergent* velocity field “induced” by (or associated with) an isolated straight vortex line of strength  $\zeta_0$  is a cylindrically circular flow around the line with tangential velocity at a distance  $r$  perpendicular to the vortex line of  $u_T = \zeta_0/(2\pi r)$ . Given this, show that the velocity field induced by a planar sheet of straight vortex lines is a piecewise uniform flow with a discontinuous jump at the vortex sheet itself, such that if the vortex sheet lies in the  $x$ - $y$  plane  $z = 0$ , with individual vortex lines oriented parallel to the positive  $y$ -axis, then  $v = w = 0$  and

$$u = \begin{cases} \zeta_0/2 & \text{if } z > 0; \\ -\zeta_0/2 & \text{if } z < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Hint:* Both the vorticity distribution and the velocity fields are uniform in  $y$ , so this coordinate can be neglected. Compute the velocity at an arbitrary point  $(x_0, z_0)$  by integrating the contributions from all the individual line vortices over the range  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

*Due Friday November 7th*