

Applying FD and FV methods to more complex equations

For fluid simulation, a typical PDE we might want to solve is:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \vec{u}(x, y, t) \cdot \nabla \psi = \alpha \nabla^2 \psi + S_\psi$$

where unknown $\psi(x, y, t)$, $\vec{u}$ is a known velocity field satisfying $\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$, and S_ψ is some source term.

Involves 3 complications over 1D advection eqn:

- (1) Flow velocity $\vec{u}$ depends on x, t
- (2) Source terms
- (3) Multiple space dimensions.

In a standard finite difference method, these are easily handled

- Each term is discretized in space (say using centered or upwind differencing) to a consistent order of accuracy.
- A time differencing method is chosen for stability, efficiency, and consistent order of accuracy to space differencing. If S_ψ is nonlinear in ψ , or $\vec{u}$ depends on ψ , or we have multiple space dimensions, explicit time differencing will be easier to implement than an implicit method such as trapezoidal.

Example Burgers eqn.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad \alpha = 0.01$$

$u(x, t)$ periodic on $0 < x < 1$
 $u(x, 0) = 1 + \sin(2\pi x)$

burgers.m on web page { FD using centered space differencing on $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$
RK4 for time difference
Uniform grid $x_j = j\Delta x$, $\Delta x = \frac{1}{N}$

Works fine if Δx fine enough to resolve developing shock, but note timestep limitations for RK4 stability

$$|\sigma_{i, \max} \Delta t| = \left| \frac{u_{\max} \Delta t}{\Delta x} \right| < 2.82$$

$$|\sigma_{r, \max} \Delta t| = \left| \frac{\alpha \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \right| < 2.82$$

For $\Delta x < \frac{4a}{U_{\max}} = \frac{4(0.01)}{2} = 0.02$, the diffusive term (not the advective term) limits the ~~stability~~ timestep Δt .

We know the Crank-Nicolson method could avoid this stability limit on Δt , but the $u u_x$ term makes trapezoidal time differencing impractical. Is there anything we can do to use C-N on the diffusive term, RK4 on the advection?

Splitting (Durran 4.3)

Consider the PDE $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = A(\psi) + B(\psi)$

For simplicity, assume A and B are linear, time-independent operators. (not true in above example!)

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{n+1} &= \exp\{\Delta t(A+B)\}\psi^n \\ &= 1 + \Delta t(A+B)\psi^n + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \underbrace{(A+B)(A+B)}_{A^2+AB+BA+B^2}\psi^n \dots\end{aligned}$$

Now try splitting into two sequential updates:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} &= A(\psi) \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = B(\psi) : \\ \psi^* &= \exp(\Delta t A)\psi = (1 + \Delta t A + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} A^2 \dots)\psi \\ \hat{\psi}^{n+1} &= \exp(\Delta t B)\psi^* = (1 + \Delta t B + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} B^2 \dots)\psi^*\end{aligned}$$

This would give

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}^{n+1} &= \exp(\Delta t B)\exp(\Delta t A)\psi^n \\ &= (1 + \Delta t B + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} B^2 \dots)(1 + \Delta t A + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} A^2 \dots)\psi^n \\ &= (1 + \Delta t(A+B) + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2}(A^2 + 2BA + B^2))\psi^n \dots \\ &\neq \text{exact } \psi^{n+1} \text{ unless } AB\psi = BA\psi, \text{ i.e. } A, B \text{ commute}\end{aligned}$$

The "splitting error" appears to be $O(\Delta t^2)$ per timestep, corresponding to 1st-order accuracy, to the extent A, B don't commute. If A, B commute, e.g. $A = \delta_x^2$, $B = \delta_y^2$, then no splitting error.

Strang splitting

The formal splitting error can be reduced by

- (1) Applying A for $\Delta t/2$
- (2) Applying B for Δt
- (3) Applying A for $\Delta t/2$

"Strang splitting"

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}^{n+1} &= \exp\left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} A\right) \exp(\Delta t B) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} A\right) \psi^n \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} A + \frac{\Delta t^2}{8} A^2 \dots\right) \left(1 + \Delta t B + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} B^2\right) \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} A + \frac{\Delta t^2}{8} A^2 \dots\right) \psi^n \\ &= 1 + \Delta t(A+B) + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right] A^2 + AB + BA + \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right] B^2\right) + \dots \\ &= \psi^{n+1} + O(\Delta t^3)\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Strang splitting is 2nd-order accurate in Δt even if $AB \neq BA$.

Equivalence of sequential + Strang splitting

Sequential:

$$\hat{\psi}^n = \underbrace{e^{\Delta t B} e^{\Delta t A}}_{n \text{ times}} \underbrace{e^{\Delta t B} e^{\Delta t A}}_{n \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{e^{\Delta t B} e^{\Delta t A}}_{n \text{ times}} \psi^0$$

Strang:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}^n &= \underbrace{e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A}}_{n \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A}}_{n \text{ times}} \psi^0 \\ &= \underbrace{e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} \underbrace{e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{e^{\Delta t B} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A} e^{\frac{\Delta t}{2} A}}_{n-1 \text{ times}} \psi^0\end{aligned}$$

Thus Strang is like doing $\frac{1}{2}$ step of A, then $n-1$ steps of sequential splitting, then a step of B but only $\frac{1}{2}$ step of A. This differs from n steps of sequential only by $O(\Delta t^2)$ so in fact sequential splitting is also $O(\Delta t^2)$ accurate!

See burgers_split.m for sequential splitting applied to Burgers eqn with RK4 for advection, C-N for the diffusion, and a Δt twice as large as for unsplit method for $N_x = 100$ pts.