Relative roles of surface temperature and climate forcing patterns in the inconstancy of radiative feedbacks
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Abstract Radiative feedbacks robustly vary over time in transient warming simulations. Published studies offer two explanations: (i) evolving patterns of ocean heat uptake (OHU) or radiative forcing give rise to OHU or forcing “efficacies” and (ii) evolving patterns of surface temperature change. This study seeks to determine whether these explanations are indeed distinct. Using an idealized framework of an aquaplanet atmosphere-only model, we show that radiative feedbacks depend on the pattern of climate forcing. Yet the same feedbacks arise when the temperature pattern induced by that climate forcing is prescribed in the absence of any forcing. These findings suggest the perspective that feedbacks are influenced by efficacies of forcing and OHU is equivalent to the perspective that feedbacks are dependent on the temperature patterns induced by those forcings. Prescribed surface temperature simulations are thus valuable for studying the temporal evolution of radiative feedbacks.

1. Introduction

Radiative feedbacks describe the response of top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiation to a change in surface temperature, as commonly expressed by the standard model of global TOA energy balance:

$$\overline{Q} = \lambda T + R,$$

where the overbar denotes an area-weighted global mean quantity, $\overline{Q}$ is the radiative forcing, $\overline{Q}$ the TOA radiative imbalance, $\lambda$ the global radiative feedback, and $T$ the surface temperature response. $\overline{Q}$ and $R$ are positive downward; a negative value for $\lambda$ represents a stabilizing feedback, with a more negative value corresponding to a less sensitive climate.

Feedbacks are often considered to be time invariant, meaning that the value of $\lambda$ at any given time is assumed to be equal to the radiative feedback at equilibrium ($\lambda_{eq}$). However, studies have found that $\lambda$ changes over time in transient simulations of global climate models (GCMs)—and generally in the direction that climate becomes more sensitive to greenhouse gas forcing as equilibrium is approached ($\lambda < \lambda_{eq}$) [Murphy, 1995; Senior and Mitchell, 2000; Gregory et al., 2004; Williams et al., 2008; Winton et al., 2010; Armour et al., 2013; Frölicher et al., 2013; Rose et al., 2014; Andrews et al., 2015; Knutti and Rugenstein, 2015; Gregory et al., 2015; Marvel et al., 2015; Paynter and Frölicher, 2015; Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Rugenstein et al., 2016a; Rose and Rayborn, 2016; Armour, 2017]. This time variation of $\lambda$ implies that long-term global warming may be substantially underestimated by projections that assume constant feedbacks, posing a major challenge to future climate prediction and past climate record interpretation [Armour et al., 2013; Marvel et al., 2015; Forster, 2016; Armour, 2016, 2017].

What processes cause feedbacks to change under transient warming? One proposed mechanism is that $\lambda$ depends on the spatial pattern of forcing acting on the atmosphere—either radiatively at the TOA or as ocean heat uptake (OHU) at the sea surface; feedbacks thus vary as the forcing pattern changes over time [Winton et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2014; Kang and Xie, 2014; Marvel et al., 2015; Rugenstein et al., 2016a; Trossman et al., 2016]. For example, under transient warming, OHU preferentially occurs within the Southern Ocean and North Atlantic Ocean due to regional ocean circulations [Marshall et al., 2015; Armour et al., 2016]; this OHU pattern is distinct from the pattern of CO2 forcing, which peaks in the tropics, giving rise to a different value of $\lambda$ under transient warming relative to the equilibrium response to CO2 forcing.
Different radiative forcing agents, such as tropospheric aerosols, also have patterns of forcing that are distinct from that of CO₂ [e.g., Hansen et al., 2005] and thus different values of $\lambda$ [Shindell, 2014; Marvel et al., 2015]. These forcing pattern effects are commonly characterized in terms of a so-called forcing “efficacy,” defined as the ratio of global mean surface warming that occurs in response to a given forcing relative to that under a CO₂ forcing of the same magnitude [Hansen et al., 2005; Winton et al., 2010]. Equivalently, the efficacies of radiative forcing and OHU are equal to the ratio of the value of $\lambda$ they induce to the value of $\lambda_{\text{eq}}$ induced by CO₂ forcing alone [Rose et al., 2014; Rose and Rayborn, 2016]. This principle has been clearly demonstrated within atmospheric GCM simulations where OHU patterns are induced via prescribed sea surface heat fluxes: distinct heat flux patterns with identical global mean values drive different global surface temperature responses [Rose et al., 2014; Kang and Xie, 2014; Rugenstein et al., 2016a].

The inconstancy of $\lambda$ could also be due to the global radiative response to warming depending not just on $T$ but also on the pattern of sea surface temperature change, which evolves in time during transient warming [Armour et al., 2013; Andrews et al., 2015; Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016]. For example, the Southern Ocean is slow to warm in greenhouse gas forcing simulations but eventually warms substantially [Armour et al., 2016]; consequently, $\lambda$ changes over time as Southern Ocean feedbacks slowly become activated [Armour et al., 2013]. Moreover, changes in the zonal temperature gradient within the equatorial Pacific Ocean appear to induce changes in tropical cloud feedbacks by modifying lower tropospheric stability and stratocumulus cloud cover [Andrews et al., 2015; Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016]. In this view, distinct values of $\lambda$ arise from different spatial patterns of warming, regardless of how those warming patterns are induced. The time variation of $\lambda$ has been studied within atmospheric GCM simulations driven by prescribed sea surface temperature (SST) patterns, without consideration of the pattern of OHU [e.g., Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016].

Of course, OHU and surface temperature evolve due to a prescribed radiative forcing, precluding determination of the dominant mechanisms governing the inconstancy of feedbacks. It is plausible that radiative feedbacks depend on both the pattern of forcing and surface temperature change simultaneously. In other words, one interpretation of forcing efficacy is that distinct feedbacks arise from different patterns of surface temperature changes which, in turn, are induced by different patterns of climate forcing [e.g., Shindell, 2014; Marvel et al., 2015; Rugenstein et al., 2016a]. It is thus important to quantify to what degree the radiative feedbacks depend on the spatial patterns of SSTs relative to the surface heat fluxes that induce those SST patterns [Rugenstein et al., 2016a]. This directly informs our understanding of how feedbacks can be studied within both GCMs and observations: do feedbacks depend on the spatial pattern of forcing, temperature change, or both simultaneously?

In this study, we perform model simulations designed to explicitly compare these explanations and determine (i) to what extent feedbacks depend on the structure and type of forcing applied, (ii) to what extent feedbacks depend on the pattern of surface temperature change induced by that forcing, and (iii) to what extent these mechanisms can be separated from one another. We use an idealized aquaplanet model with suites of prescribed forcings and SST boundary conditions to evaluate how radiative feedbacks behave when the patterns of forcing or surface temperature are changed individually. Our results will inform on the validity of prescribed SST simulations—both past and future—for diagnosing radiative feedbacks.

2. Methods

We use the aquaplanet version of AM2.1 [Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Global Atmospheric Model Development Team, 2004], the atmospheric component of the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Climate Model (CM2.1). AM2.1 is among the small ensemble of models used by Rose et al. [2014] in their analysis of varying patterns of OHU. We keep all model specifications the same as in Rose et al.; sea surface albedo is uniformly set to 0.1 with no sea ice (SST is allowed to drop below freezing), and all simulations are run at perpetual equinox. Grid resolution for this model is ~2° latitude × 2.5° longitude, with 24 vertical levels.

We perform two types of simulations. The first type uses AM2.1 coupled to a “slab ocean” (with depth fixed at 10 m), in which we prescribe distinct patterns of forcing. These patterns are either TOA radiative changes due to a change in CO₂ or sea surface heat flux changes induced via heat sinks/sources within the slab ocean layer.
Table 1. All Simulations Follow the Same General Setup as Described in Section 2 Unless Otherwise Indicated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation (Full Name)</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slab ocean control</td>
<td>SL_CTL</td>
<td>Control run in slab ocean configuration. Control greenhouse gas concentrations are 348 ppmv CO₂, 1650 ppbv CH₄, and 306 ppbv N₂O. All subsequent simulations are run with these concentrations unless otherwise indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled CO₂</td>
<td>SL_2xCO₂</td>
<td>CO₂ value doubled from 348 ppm to 696 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-latitude uptake</td>
<td>SL_QHigh</td>
<td>High-latitude uptake profile (Q_high) from Rose et al. [2014] applied as surface heat flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical uptake</td>
<td>SL_QTrop</td>
<td>Tropical latitude (Q_trop) profile from Rose et al. [2014] applied as surface heat flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform uptake</td>
<td>SL_QUni</td>
<td>Heat uptake applied uniformly at all grid points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled CO₂ TOA Change applied as surface heat flux</td>
<td>SL_QTOA</td>
<td>TOA radiative forcing profile associated with a doubling of CO₂ applied as surface heat flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled CO₂ SFC flux change applied as surface heat flux</td>
<td>SL_QSfc</td>
<td>Surface radiative flux changes from SST_2xCO₂ simulation reapplied as surface heat flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed SST control</td>
<td>SST_CTL</td>
<td>Control run in prescribed SST configuration. Prescribed SST pattern taken from SL_CTL simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled CO₂ prescribed SST</td>
<td>SST_2xCO₂</td>
<td>Prescribed SST pattern taken from SL_2xCO₂ simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control prescribed SST with a doubling of CO₂</td>
<td>SST_CTLw/2xCO₂</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from CTL, with CO₂ doubled (696 ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control prescribed SST plus ~3 K</td>
<td>SST_CTL3K</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from CTL, with temperatures increased uniformly by 3.01 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubled CO₂ prescribed SST with a doubling of CO₂</td>
<td>SST_2xCO2w/2xCO₂</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from 2xCO₂, with CO₂ doubled (696 ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-latitude uptake prescribed SST</td>
<td>SST_QHigh</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from SL_QHigh simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical latitude uptake prescribed SST</td>
<td>SST_QTrop</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from SL_QTrop simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform uptake prescribed SST</td>
<td>SST_QUni</td>
<td>Prescribed SST taken from SL_QUni run</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOutlines the slab (SL_) simulations.

bOutlines the prescribed sea surface temperature (SST_) simulations, with SST profiles taken from corresponding slab runs.

3. Results

We first consider a simulation with AM2.1 coupled to the slab ocean in which we double CO₂ and allow the system to equilibrate (denoted SL_2xCO₂). This produces a pattern of surface temperature change $T(\phi)$, where $\phi$ is latitude, relative to a slab ocean control simulation (SL_CTL). Following Rose et al. [2014], we analyze the response in terms of a local energy budget equation:

$$Q(\phi) = \lambda(\phi)T(\phi) + R(\phi) - \nabla \cdot F(\phi),$$

where each term is a zonal mean quantity: $Q(\phi)$ is the net sea surface heat flux; $R(\phi)$ is the radiative forcing; $\lambda(\phi)$ is the radiative feedback; and $\nabla \cdot F(\phi)$ is the meridional heat flux divergence.

We treat the resulting patterns of feedback and warming from CO₂ doubling as a benchmark for comparison to subsequent simulations. The temperature response shows polar amplified warming with a global mean warming of 3.01 K (Figure 1b). To calculate the radiative feedbacks in the presence of CO₂ changes, we must account for the TOA radiation changes associated with CO₂ radiative forcing. We thus perform a simulation in which climatological SSTs from the slab ocean control simulation (SL_CTL) are prescribed and held fixed,
while CO₂ is doubled (denoted SST_CTLw/2xCO₂); the net TOA radiation anomaly (relative to SST_CTL) gives the effective CO₂ radiative forcing $R(\phi)$ including tropospheric adjustments [e.g., Andrews and Forster, 2008; Hansen et al., 2005] (Figure 2a). In an aquaplanet, this adjusted forcing does not include any adjustments that would result from the inclusion of continents, such as warming over land and associated land-sea contrast, or from rapid changes in ocean circulation [Rugenstein et al., 2016b]. The total TOA radiation anomaly in SL_2xCO₂ is equal to $\lambda(\phi)T(\phi) + R(\phi)$. Thus, subtracting $R(\phi)$ leaves the radiative response to surface warming ($\lambda(\phi)T(\phi)$) which yields the local feedback $\lambda(\phi)$ when divided by $T(\phi)$. The pattern of $\lambda(\phi)$ for SL_2xCO₂ is everywhere negative, with latitudinal variations within a range of $-4.0$ to $0$ Wm⁻²K⁻¹ (Figure 1c). Importantly, this feedback pattern arises with zero net surface heat flux (Figure 1a).

We next perform a simulation wherein the SST warming pattern from SL_2xCO₂ is prescribed with CO₂ held fixed at its control value (denoted SST_2xCO₂). While the patterns of SST are identical in SL_2xCO₂ and SST_2xCO₂ by construction (Figure 1b), the surface heat fluxes are quite different: the surface heat flux under prescribed SSTs is not constrained to be zero as in the slab ocean configuration and ranges from 2 to 7 W/m² out of the ocean (Figure 1a). Yet the resulting feedback pattern from SST_2xCO₂ is nearly identical to that obtained from SL_2xCO₂ (Figure 1c). That is, the same feedbacks—both globally and locally—can arise even with distinct patterns of surface heat fluxes, provided that the warming pattern is the same in both cases.

Why does $\lambda(\phi)$ appear to be insensitive to the pattern of surface heat fluxes? One might expect that changing latent heat fluxes may affect the atmospheric structure and TOA radiation, e.g., through an effect on low cloud cover. Indeed, a similar set of experiments using large eddy simulations suggests that the marine boundary layer cloud response to a given warming may be different depending on whether identical SST anomalies are generated interactively by CO₂ forcing or prescribed [Tan et al., 2017]. It is not clear why our findings differ from these. It seems possible that our coarse resolution atmospheric GCM is not capturing the relevant physical controls on boundary layer clouds. The sensitivity to the lower boundary condition may also arise from the large eddy simulation setup, with fixed lateral boundary conditions driving a small domain.

However, the results in Figure 1 make sense in the context of the forcing-feedback framework [Andrews and Forster, 2008]—provided that we account for tropospheric adjustments to CO₂ forcing in both the TOA radiation fields and sea surface heat fluxes [Hansen et al., 2005]. Summing the response of SST_CTLw/2xCO₂ with
SST$_{2xCO2}$ results in similar patterns of TOA radiation and sea surface fluxes to when CO$_2$ is doubled from its control value while the 2xCO$_2$ warming pattern is prescribed at the same time (SST$_{2xCO2w/2xCO2}$; Figures S1a and S1b in the supporting information). That is, the response to CO$_2$ forcing and surface warming is, to a good approximation, equal to the sum of the response to each individually (SST$_{2xCO2w/2xCO2}$ = SST$_{CTLw/2xCO2}$ + SST$_{2xCO2}$). Moreover, the patterns of radiative feedbacks seen under SST$_{2xCO2w/2xCO2}$ and SL$_{2xCO2}$ are nearly identical (Figure S1c), consistent with the interpretation that feedbacks depend on the pattern of surface warming, regardless of the forcing that has given rise to that warming pattern.

While the surface heat fluxes in SST$_{2xCO2w/2xCO2}$ approximately average to zero outside of the tropics, they are robustly positive between 30°N and 30°S (Figure S1b). In contrast, the sea surface heat fluxes within SL$_{2xCO2}$ are zero everywhere, as they must be in equilibrium. This discrepancy is likely due to differences arising from whether SST anomalies are prescribed or generated interactively; small differences in the location of the Intertropical Convergence Zone between these simulations seem to drive substantial changes in the amount of shortwave radiation reaching the surface. However, these differences appear to have negligible effect on TOA radiation or other model fields. Our interpretation, then, is that the patterns of sea surface fluxes depend on both the applied forcing and the surface temperature response to that forcing; however, when tropospheric adjustments to forcing are properly accounted for, the radiative response (i.e., $\lambda(\phi)$) depends only on the pattern of surface warming. This interpretation is expected to hold provided the model response to forcing and surface warming add linearly to the response to both together; it may break down under substantially larger or very localized forcing and should be tested within more realistic model setups.

Why, then, have feedbacks been found to be sensitive to the pattern of radiative forcing and OHU [e.g., Rose et al., 2014; Marvel et al., 2015; Rugenstein et al., 2016a]? To explore this, we use the above methodology with a new set of forcings. We first take the net surface heat fluxes from SST$_{2xCO2}$ (Figures 1a and 2a) and prescribe that pattern as OHU in a slab ocean simulation (denoted SL$_{QStd}$). In another simulation (denoted SL$_{QTOA}$), we apply the TOA radiative forcing associated with CO$_2$ doubling (Figure 2a) as OHU in the slab ocean, thus driving the system with the CO$_2$ forcing pattern at the surface instead of the TOA. These simulations, along with SL$_{2xCO2}$ and SST$_{2xCO2}$, allow us to assess the sensitivity of feedbacks to both the vertical and horizontal structures of forcing, and will collectively be referred to as “2xCO$_2$ variants.”

Interestingly, the SST responses for SL$_{2xCO2}$, SL$_{QStd}$, and SL$_{QTOA}$ are nearly identical (Figure 2b). Several lessons can be learned from this. First, applying the same surface heat flux pattern that arises from...
prescribing the 2xCO2 warming pattern in the slab ocean (SL_QSfc) returns the same warming pattern, suggesting that in the absence of CO2 forcing, there may be a one-to-one correspondence between changes in sea surface heat fluxes and SSTs. Additionally, the resulting feedbacks in SL_QSfc are nearly identical to those within SL_2xCO2 and SST_2xCO2 (Figure 3a). Comparing SL_2xCO2 and SL_TOA shows that identical patterns of forcing applied at the TOA or surface, respectively, produce nearly identical temperature responses and feedbacks (Figures 2b and 3b). The exception to this is near the poles, where the response has been shown to be sensitive to the vertical distribution of forcing [Payne et al., 2015; Cronin and Jansen, 2016]. Importantly, all 2xCO2 variant simulations produce similar patterns of surface warming and radiative feedbacks, despite their very different patterns of applied forcing. These findings suggest that at least in this model configuration, the same pattern of radiative feedbacks will arise whenever a particular pattern of SST change is produced, regardless of whether that pattern was driven by CO2 forcing, OHU, or simply prescribed. An interpretation of radiative forcing and OHU efficacy, then, is that a given forcing induces a pattern of surface temperature change that, in turn, generates a pattern of radiative feedbacks.

To further highlight the importance of the surface warming pattern for radiative feedbacks, we perform a simulation of prescribed uniform warming of ~3.01 K (denoted SST_CTL3K); the value 3.01 K is the global mean temperature increase from a doubling of CO2 (SL_2xCO2; see Figure 1b). This type of experiment is commonly used as a surrogate for greenhouse gas-induced climate change [e.g., Cess et al., 1990]. Although its global mean feedback parameter is roughly the same as that for polar-amplified warming (SST_2xCO2 and SL_2xCO2; see Table S1 in the supporting information), SST_CTL3K has a strikingly different pattern of local feedbacks relative to the other 2xCO2 Variants simulations (Figure 3a). That is, different patterns of surface warming drive distinct patterns of radiative feedbacks.

Finally, we investigate the relative importance of forcing versus SST anomalies in driving local feedback changes in the context of the OHU simulations presented in Rose et al. [2014]. To do so, we first prescribe OHU patterns—Q(\phi) in (2)—that are identical to those in Rose et al. [2014]: one with OHU in the high-latitudes centered around 65°N/65°S and one with OHU in the tropics centered on the equator (Figure 2a), denoted SL_QHigh and SL_QTrop, respectively. The area-weighted global mean OHU is identical in these two simulations: Q_{\text{High}} = Q_{\text{Low}} = 2 W/m^2. These idealized patterns were chosen to mimic the patterns of transient OHU seen in coupled GCM simulations. As shown in Rugenstein et al. [2016a], the difference in feedback patterns induced by these distinct OHU patterns may be exaggerated within this aquaplanet setup relative to simulations with realistic land geometry; these simulations may thus be viewed as an extreme test of mechanisms governing feedback variations. Similar to Rugenstein et al. [2016a], we also perform a simulation whereby a uniform uptake of 2 W/m^2 is applied (denoted SL_QUni). We refer to this set of three experiments as the “Rose-style” slab ocean simulations.
Consistent with the results of Rose et al. [2014], we see that distinct patterns of OHU drive distinct patterns of temperature response (Figure 2b), despite the global mean surface heat flux being identical across simulations. SL_QHigh and SL_QTrop both exhibit surface cooling relative to the control, with SL_QHigh cooling more than SL_QTrop, especially near the poles. SL_QUni shows cooling with a magnitude between SL_QHigh and SL_QTrop. The radiative feedbacks across these simulations are distinct from each other (Figure 3b)—as they must be to account for different amounts of cooling under the same global mean forcing—and are distinct from all 2xCO2 variant simulations. In good agreement with Rose et al. [2014] and Rugenstein et al. [2016a], we find that radiative feedbacks are most positive when OHU is applied at high latitudes, most negative when applied at low latitudes, and in between these extremes when applied uniformly (see Table S1 in the supporting information).

Taken at face value, these results suggest that the pattern of local radiative feedbacks is sensitive to the pattern of surface heat flux changes, consistent with the interpretations provided by Rose et al. [2014] and Winton et al. [2010]. Yet different surface temperature patterns are generated by the different surface heat flux patterns—which both Rose et al. [2014] and Winton et al. [2010] note—meaning that considering this set of experiments alone, it is ambiguous which mechanism is responsible for the changing feedbacks. This motivates another set of simulations with equivalent prescribed SST patterns, allowing the surface heat fluxes to vary. To compare directly back to the “Rose-style slab” runs, we take the equilibrium SST patterns from SL_QHigh, SL_QTrop, and SL_QUni and prescribe them in three simulations called SST_QHigh, SST_QTrop, and SST_QUni, respectively. These prescribed SST simulations produce feedback patterns that agree well with the OHU-driven slab runs (Figure 3b): SST_QHigh gives positive feedbacks in the subtropics, SST_QTrop gives feedbacks that are more negative at all latitudes than SST_QHigh, and SST_QUni gives feedbacks that are roughly between SST_QTrop and SST_QHigh. However, there are differences in the subtropical feedbacks that are large enough to require further investigation by future studies. We speculate that this may be due to differences in the surface heat fluxes between prescribed SST and prescribed OHU simulations (Figure S2); however, it is still unclear why similarly large differences in sea surface heat fluxes produced consistent patterns of radiative feedbacks under CO2 forcing (Figures 1 and S1).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

This study evaluates the validity of prescribed SST simulations for studying radiative feedbacks by independently examining to what extent local radiative feedbacks depend on the pattern of SST change or the nature of the forcing applied. The results shown here imply that nearly the same patterns of radiative feedback arise for a given pattern of SST change, independent of how that SST pattern is induced. Feedbacks thus appear to be largely insensitive to the pattern of TOA radiation or surface heat fluxes that accompany those SST changes. This suggests that studies showing feedbacks to be sensitive to the pattern of forcing [e.g., Winton et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2014] may equivalently be viewed in terms of feedbacks depending on the resulting patterns of temperature change. In this interpretation, forcing efficacies arise from the surface temperature response induced by radiative forcing or OHU—provided that the tropospheric-adjusted forcing framework is used so that the TOA radiative response can be uniquely associated with surface temperature changes. These results show how what could be interpreted as different perspectives in the literature relate to each other: radiative feedbacks fundamentally depend on the pattern of surface temperature change [e.g., Armour et al., 2013; Andrews et al., 2015; Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016], but they also depend on the pattern of forcing applied [e.g., Winton et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2014] through the temperature patterns that forcing induces [e.g., Shindell, 2014; Marvel et al., 2015; Rugenstein et al., 2016a].

Our interpretation of these findings is that the physical processes governing TOA radiative response primarily depend on temperature changes, rather than on local heat fluxes. The TOA radiative response associated with the Planck feedback illustrates this behavior most simply: it explicitly depends on only local surface temperature change [e.g., Armour et al., 2013; Feldl and Roe, 2013]. Lapse rate, water vapor, and cloud distributions have similarly been linked to patterns of sea surface temperature [e.g., Shukla and Wallace, 1983; Lau and Nath, 1994; Folland et al., 2014], as have patterns of atmospheric circulation and rainfall [e.g., Folland et al., 1986; Lau and Nath, 1996; Nobre and Shukla, 1996; Chang et al., 2000]. It is thus not surprising that the TOA radiative response is similarly set by the pattern of surface warming. However, it is less clear whether the ice-albedo feedback (absent in our simulations) will behave similarly,
given that the relationship between ice concentration and surface temperature is complex when outside of the summer melt season.

There are several caveats to the results presented here. One is that moderately different feedbacks might arise from identical SST patterns if the patterns of surface heat fluxes are sufficiently different, especially in the subtropics (Figure S2). It also has yet to be seen to what extent our results apply to more realistic simulations, for example, with land and sea ice, where temperature changes cannot be prescribed in the same way we have illustrated here. Moreover, feedbacks have also been shown to change by a smaller amount in more realistic simulations [Rugenstein et al., 2016a]. These results should thus be verified within more realistic atmospheric GCM simulations that include land, sea ice, and a seasonal cycle.

Ancillary to our results, we find that a uniform warming leads to a distinct pattern of radiative feedbacks relative to those under polar amplified warming. This finding is expected given that local feedbacks depend sensitively on the warming pattern. Thus, caution should be exercised in using uniform warming simulations as a surrogate for the response to CO\textsubscript{2} forcing (e.g., Cess et al. [1990], CMIP5’s “amip4K” simulations). However, indeed, most importantly, our results do imply that simulations in which the pattern of SSTs is prescribed—without the accompanying radiative or OHU forcing that would have given rise to those SSTs—may be useful in studying the feedback response to patterns of temperature change [e.g., Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016]. In particular, this suggests that the upcoming Cloud Feedback Model Intercomparison Project (CFMIP) [Webb et al., 2017], which will simulate the radiative response to observed SST patterns, will be useful in understanding how radiative feedbacks have changed over the historical period. These results are also particularly encouraging in light of the fact that historical radiative forcing and sea surface heat flux anomalies are far less well quantified than historical temperature changes.

It is important to note that even though radiative feedbacks appear to be primarily set by the pattern of surface warming, that pattern itself will depend on OHU, TOA forcings, and even feedbacks themselves [Rose et al., 2014; Roe et al., 2015; Rugenstein et al., 2016a]. Thus, coupled model simulations remain critical tools for future climate prediction. Moreover, simulations that include or prescribe surface heat fluxes rather than SSTs [e.g., Rose et al., 2014; Rugenstein et al., 2016a] remain valuable tools for studying the role of both ocean dynamics and radiative feedbacks on the patterns of the SST response; they additionally have the nice property that they are thermodynamically consistent at the sea surface, making them more suitable to the study of global energy imbalance.

Our findings suggest that a unique pattern of radiative feedbacks will arise from a given pattern of SST change, whether that temperature change is induced by climate forcing or simply prescribed. These results lend support to recent and upcoming studies that prescribe SST changes within large-scale GCMs to examine the response of radiative feedbacks to changing warming patterns [e.g., Gregory and Andrews, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016; Webb et al., 2017]. These findings further suggest that what might be seen as different explanations for the inconstancy of radiative feedbacks actually reflect the same mechanisms at work.
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