East Antarctic sea ice in spring: spectral albedo of snow, nilas, frost flowers and slush, and light-absorbing impurities in snow
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ABSTRACT. Spectral albedos of open water, nilas, nilas with frost flowers, slush, and first-year ice with both thin and thick snow cover were measured in the East Antarctic sea-ice zone during the Sea Ice Physics and Ecosystems experiment II (SIPEX II) from September to November 2012, near 65°S, 120°E. Albedo was measured across the ultraviolet (UV), visible and near-infrared (nIR) wavelengths, augmenting a dataset from prior Antarctic expeditions with spectral coverage extended to longer wavelengths, and with measurement of slush and frost flowers, which had not been encountered on the prior expeditions. At visible and UV wavelengths, the albedo depends on the thickness of snow or ice; in the nIR the albedo is determined by the specific surface area. The growth of frost flowers causes the nilas albedo to increase by 0.2–0.3 in the UV and visible wavelengths. The spectral albedos are integrated over wavelength to obtain broadband albedos for wavelength bands commonly used in climate models. The albedo spectrum for deep snow on first-year sea ice shows no evidence of light-absorbing particulate impurities (LAI), such as black carbon (BC) or organics, which is consistent with the extremely small quantities of LAI found by filtering snow meltwater. Estimated BC mixing ratios were in the range 0.1–0.5 ng of carbon per gram of snow.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The solar energy budget of the Antarctic Ocean, which is responsible for the seasonal timing of growth and decay of sea ice, is determined by both surface albedo and cloud thickness (Fitzpatrick and Warren, 2007). Antarctic sea ice may be an important player in future climate change (Rind and others, 1995; Holland and Bitz, 2003), and knowledge of its albedo is needed for accurate simulations of climate (Meeth and Washington, 1990; Rind and others, 1997; Hall, 2004). The input of solar energy to the ocean is limited by reflection of sunlight by the snow/ice system. The large-scale flow of the Antarctic sea ice is generally divergent, opening leads of open water that can refreeze, so the sea-ice zone in spring exhibits a variety of ice types of varying thickness and snow cover, with a corresponding variety of albedo values (Brandt and others, 2005).

For bare ice, particularly young ‘nilas’ (a thin uniform sheet formed by freezing in calm water), the albedo increases as the ice thickens. Later, after snow falls onto the young ice, its albedo is determined mainly by snow thickness and snow grain size. Before it is covered with snow, thin dark nilas exposed to cold air, particularly on clear nights, can become decorated by ‘frost flowers’ (Perovich and Richter-Menge, 1994; Style and Worster, 2009); the resulting enhancement of albedo is similar to that caused by a thin snow layer. Slush can form when the wind blows snow into new leads of open water, resulting in an albedo intermediate between water and snow.

Light-absorbing impurities (LAI) can cause a reduction of albedo at wavelengths where the albedo is high; this is most notable for snow at visible and ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths (Warren and Wiscombe, 1980). There are several types of LAI that may be present in snow. Per unit mass, black carbon (BC) is the most absorptive material. Non-BC species include brown (organic) carbon, mineral dust and humic-like substances (HULIS) (Hoffer and others, 2006; Doherty and others, 2010; France and others, 2012; Voisin and others, 2012). The amounts of these impurities are sufficient to reduce surface albedo by a few percent in the Arctic (Clarke and Noone, 1985; Doherty and others, 2010), but in the interior of Antarctica the amounts are far too small to affect the albedo (Warren and Clarke, 1990). A global database of these impurities is being developed. Snow has previously been surveyed for LAI in the Arctic, in northern China, in Europe, in North America and on the Antarctic plateau, but not on Antarctic sea ice.

This paper reports on measurements of spectral albedo and LAI during the Sea Ice Physics and Ecosystems experiment II (SIPEX II) field campaign of the Australian National Antarctic Research Expeditions (ANARE), from 16 September to 16 November 2012. This project continues a collaboration between the University of Washington and the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD), which involved prior expeditions to the East Antarctic sea-ice zone in 1988, 1996 and 2000 (Allison and others, 1993; Warren and others, 1993; Fitzpatrick and others, 2004; Brandt and others, 2005; Fitzpatrick and Warren, 2005, 2007). The icebreaking ship Aurora Australis traveled from Hobart, Tasmania, toward the coast of East Antarctica near Casey Station (Fig. 1). For most of the time that the ship was in the sea-ice zone, it was located near 65°S, 120°E.

2. CONDITIONS DURING SIPEX II

The Aurora Australis was in the sea-ice zone from 21 September to 12 November, stopping eight times at ‘ice stations’ where researchers could exit the ship to conduct measurements on and near a floe of thick first-year ice. Synoptic storms were prevalent throughout the voyage, causing difficulty in finding large floes for ice stations until
the ship had traveled significantly into the sea-ice pack away from the marginal ice zone. Both the first-year sea ice and its snow cover were anomalously thick during the field campaign, in comparison both to the SIPEX I voyage in spring 2007 (Toyota and others, 2011) and to long-term averages (Massom and others, 2001). For the period 1979–2012, the all-time record maximum areal extent of Antarctic sea ice (19.44 × 10⁶ km²) was reached during September 2012 (NSIDC, 2012). During the voyage the snow thickness on first-year sea ice ranged from 60 to 150 cm, and the thickness of first-year sea ice ranged from 1 to 5 m.

3. ALBEDO MEASUREMENTS

During our prior expeditions, spectral radiometers spanning wavelengths (λ) from 300 to 1060 nm were used. Calculation of broadband albedo therefore required assumptions to be made about the behavior of the albedo spectrum at the wavelengths not measured, 1060–2500 nm. Table 1 provides broadband albedos from these previous studies (tables 1 and 3 in Brandt and others, 2005) along with the broadband albedos calculated from spectral albedos measured during SIPEX II. The total-solar broadband albedos span a wide range, from 0.06 for open water to 0.87 for thick snow-covered ice under cloud.

3.1. Instrument and platforms

During SIPEX II the albedo measurements were made using a portable scanning spectral radiometer manufactured by Analytical Spectral Devices, Inc. (Kindel and others, 2001). The instrument (ASD) employs three separate grating radiometers that simultaneously measure radiance across three wavelength regions covering the range 350–2500 nm, with spectral resolution 3–10 nm, and can average 100 scans in 10 s. The ASD is designed specifically to cover the solar spectrum, and has order-blocking and cut-off filters to ensure uncontaminated spectra. A ‘cosine collector’ consisting of a Spectralon difuser-plate receptor is used to measure downwelling and upwelling incident flux. The ASD and its computer are both battery-powered and housed in separate insulated boxes. The electronics are kept warm by self-heating supplemented by battery-powered heaters.

The ASD is contained in a sled and is connected by a fiber-optic cable to the cosine collector located on a 3 m metal rod, allowing the cosine collector to be projected out over thin ice some distance from the ASD. The rod contains a counterweight on the end closest to the ASD, and a tripod is used to steady the rod. Bubble levels on both inboard and outboard ends of the rod facilitate leveling of the diffuser plate. The diffuser plate is rotated nine times, alternately up toward the sky and down toward the surface, to measure five downwelling and four upwelling spectra. Because the downwelling radiation flux may change slightly with time as cloud transmittance changes, two upwelling spectra bracketing a downwelling spectrum are interpolated to the time the downwelling spectrum was made; then the interpolated upwelling flux is divided by the downwelling flux to obtain the albedo. Four albedo spectra are thus obtained, which usually would be nearly identical; they are then averaged to form a representative albedo spectrum for that surface type. Figure 2 shows photographs of the ASD in use.

For albedo measurements of snow-covered first-year sea ice, the tripod legs were pushed into the snow so that the diffuser plate was suspended 70 cm above the surface. All other ice types were too thin to support our weight, so we operated either from an inflatable rubber boat (IRB) or from the edge of a thick ice floe. To measure thin sea ice in a narrow lead, we dug away the snow covering the thick sea ice and then placed the sled and tripod down onto the bare ice surface adjacent to the lead; the cosine collector was then extended out over the thin ice. If the tripod had instead rested on the snow, the cosine collector would have been considerably higher than the desired 70 cm height above the surface to be measured. When using the IRB, the sled and...
tripod rested on the IRB base while the rod and cosine collector extended over the side of the IRB.

The choice of 70 cm height for the diffuser plate is a compromise between two conflicting considerations. The diffuser plate receives radiation from all angles in a hemisphere, so when looking down at a narrow lead it receives radiation reflected not only from the target ice, but also from the snow walls of the lead. Moving the diffuser plate down closer to the surface reduces this source of error but also blocks some of the incident sunlight that would otherwise reach the surface. For diffuse incidence (under cloud), geometric analysis by Brandt and others (2011) showed that a shadowing correction of 1.7% was needed if the cosine collector was positioned 70 cm above the surface; a larger and more uncertain correction would be applicable for a cosine collector closer to the surface. The height of 70 cm was used for all our measurements, so our raw albedos measured under overcast cloud were all multiplied by the factor 1.017 for the plots of albedo shown in this paper.

Because the cosine collector receives radiation from all directions within a hemisphere, we attempted to position the cosine collector horizontally as far as possible from the edge of the snow-covered first-year sea ice, and the edge of the IRB, thus minimizing the influence of these surfaces on our reported albedos (see Section 3.2.2 for a computation of this error). Our most accurate measurements are made under cloudy-sky conditions, because ‘tilt errors’ due to inaccurate leveling of the cosine collector were minimized. Under clear-sky conditions, we made measurements within 2 hours of solar noon to experience the maximum solar altitude, which likewise minimized the tilt errors. During clear-sky conditions, we also oriented the rod toward the azimuth of the Sun so that the surface we were observing would not be shadowed by the tripod, rod and diffuser plate.

Table 2 lists, for all our albedo measurements, the date, location, weather conditions, surface types, and position of the ASD with respect to the surface being measured. Multiple albedo spectra were measured for each surface type. If the spectra looked similar they were averaged together to create one albedo spectrum and one set of broadband albedos. Sometimes it was necessary to remove an albedo spectrum if the sky conditions were changing too rapidly or if there was an operational error.

### 3.2. Spectral albedos

The albedos of ice and snow are the result of scattering of light at air/ice and ice/water interfaces, and limited by absorption of photons passing through ice between the scattering interfaces. The scattering is nearly independent of...
wavelength because snow grains, air bubbles and brine inclusions are all much larger than solar wavelengths, so the spectral variation of albedo is determined by the absorption spectrum of ice. The absorption coefficient varies by 7 orders of magnitude across the solar spectrum, from $10^{-3}$ m$^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 390$ nm to $10^4$ m$^{-1}$ at $\lambda = 2$ $\mu$m (Warren and Brandt, 2008). The absorption length (the reciprocal of the linear absorption coefficient, and equal to the mean free path of a photon traveling through ice before absorption) exceeds 1.5 km in the near-UV but is as small as 0.1 mm at $\lambda = 2$ $\mu$m in the near-infrared (nIR). Correspondingly, the albedo of deep snow approaches 1.0 in the UV and visible wavelengths, and $\approx 0.0$ at the centers of vibrational absorption bands at 1.5 and 2.0 $\mu$m.

Because scattering scales with the interfacial areas, and absorption scales with absorber mass, a good predictor of albedo is the ratio of air/ice interface area to ice mass, given the name ‘specific surface area’ (SSA; e.g. Matzl and Schneebeli, 2006, 2010). The SSA can be converted to an effective radius $r_e$ (e.g. Grenfell and Warren, 1999):

$$\text{SSA} = \frac{3}{\pi r_e \rho_{\text{ice}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\rho_{\text{ice}}$ is the density of pure ice, 917 kg m$^{-3}$. Larger SSA

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice station No.</th>
<th>Date (2012), local Sun time</th>
<th>Location; ASD position</th>
<th>Weather conditions</th>
<th>Surface type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28 Sept, 13:30</td>
<td>64.4° S, 120.1° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Overcast; solar disk visible. $T = -15^\circ C$, wind speed 6 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.5 cm frost flowers over 7.5 cm nilas (3 sets averaged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 Oct, 15:00</td>
<td>65.0° S, 121.0° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Clear. $T = -20^\circ C$, wind speed 7 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1 cm frost flowers over 6 cm nilas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6 Oct, 15:00</td>
<td>65.1° S, 121.7° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Overcast; Sun visibility variable through thin stratocumulus. Light snowfall melting on contact with nilas. $T = -12^\circ C$, wind speed 0.5 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.5–0.8 cm nilas (4 sets averaged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 Oct, 14:00</td>
<td>65.1° S, 120.4° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Overcast; drifting snow. $T = -7.6^\circ C$, wind speed 3 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>30 cm snow with and without a 0.2 cm crust over &gt;70 cm thick first-year ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 Oct, 8:00</td>
<td>65.1° S, 120.4° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Overcast. $T = -8.7^\circ C$, wind speed 4 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>5 cm slush in new lead (2 sets averaged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13 Oct, 13:30</td>
<td>65.2° S, 120.1° E; IRB</td>
<td>Overcast; Sun visibility variable. $T = -3.5^\circ C$, wind speed 6 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>3–6 cm nilas covered with frozen slush (3 sets averaged)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14 Oct, 9:00</td>
<td>65.2° S, 120.1° E; IRB</td>
<td>Overcast; solar disk visible. $T = -1^\circ C$, wind speed 7 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(a) 2–4 cm snow on 50 cm ice; (b) 3 cm slush on 50 cm ice; (c) open water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20 Oct, 12:00</td>
<td>65.2° S, 118.6° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Overcast; Sun visible. $T = -5^\circ C$, wind speed 6 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Slush (10 cm) in refreezing lead; no ice below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23 Oct, 14:00</td>
<td>65.2° S, 118.6° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Partly clear; Sun visibility variable. $T = -6^\circ C$, wind speed 2 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(a) 2 cm snow, 3 cm slush, 12 cm ice; (b) 0.5 cm snow, 10 cm slush, 16 cm ice (only 2 sets used and one albedo removed because of variable sky)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 Nov, 12:00</td>
<td>64.7° S, 116.1° E; floe edge</td>
<td>Clear. $T = -7.3^\circ C$, wind speed 7 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>18 cm slush (only 1 set used, when sun was not obscured)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(smaller $r_e$) causes more scattering of radiation, decreasing the probability of absorption and increasing the albedo.

An example albedo spectrum is shown in Figure 3. The data measured in wavelength bands 1800–2100 nm and 2300–2500 nm are not plotted since they are so noisy as to be meaningless. At these wavelengths, both the upwelling and downwelling radiation fluxes are very small, close to the noise level of the instrument, particularly under cloud. Since clouds absorb nIR radiation at wavelengths where snow and ice are also absorptive (all three media consist of water and therefore exhibit the vibrational absorption bands of the water molecule), most of the radiation at those wavelengths is prevented from reaching the snow surface when clouds are present. We therefore smoothed the albedo values across these data gaps, paralleling the known behavior of snow albedo across these two wavelength domains (Grenfell and others, 1994), as indicated by the dashed blue lines in Figure 3. To determine whether the uncertainty in our smoothing procedure alters broadband albedos significantly, we calculated broadband albedos for every albedo spectrum, using the extreme upper and lower bounds shown in Figure 3. The largest change was in the broadband nIR albedo (700–3000 nm wavelength), which was altered by at most 2.3% when these limits were applied; the typical broadband nIR albedo change was <1%, and the total solar broadband albedo change was <1%. This small sensitivity to extreme assumptions is a consequence of the small fraction of incident solar energy in these two wavelength bands for which the smoothing was applied.

3.2.1. Snow

Snow-covered first-year ice was the most common ice type encountered in the East Antarctic sea-ice zone. Figure 4 shows albedo spectra for both thin (2–4 cm) and thick (~45 cm) snow cover over first-year ice. The thick-snow albedo spectrum is similar to plots shown for clean snow on the Antarctic plateau at the South Pole by Grenfell and others (1994, fig. 4) and at Dome C by Hudson and others (2006, fig. 6). The two albedo spectra measured on 8 October were both of ~45 cm of snow on top of sea ice that was at least 1 m thick, but the snow surface in places was capped by a 0.2 cm crust, presumably from a melt–freeze cycle which would increase the grain sizes. We measured the albedo of both crusted and crust-free snow. In the UV and visible wavelength regions, the two spectra are identical because ice is so weakly absorptive here that the albedo is insensitive to grain size. In the nIR the crusted snow has lower albedo than the crust-free snow, as expected for larger $r_e$.

The spectrum measured on 14 October was of 2–4 cm of snow over 50 cm of ice. It has lower albedo than the deep snow at visible wavelengths where the albedo is mainly determined by snow thickness, but in the nIR its albedo is higher than that of the deep snow. In the nIR, ice is more absorptive, just 2 cm of snow being enough to hide the underlying surface, so the albedo is determined just by the SSA of the surface snow layers, which apparently was larger on 14 October than on 8 October. Comparison to model calculations of Wiscombe and Warren (1980, fig. 8) suggests $r_e \approx 100, 140$ and 350 $\mu$m for the thin snow, thick snow, and crust, respectively. A similar spectral pattern is seen when comparing the spectral albedo of snow (as in Fig. 4) to that of a cloud over a dark surface (fig. 7a of Wiscombe and others, 1984). The cloud has lower albedo than snow at visible wavelengths because it is optically thinner, but higher albedo than snow in the nIR because its particle sizes are smaller ($r_e \approx 10 \mu$m).

3.2.2. Nilas and open water

Thin nilas (Fig. 5) is rather smooth and dark, barely distinguishable from open water. Figure 6 shows the albedo spectra for open water and for several nilas cases with different thickness. Some nilas albedo spectra from Brandt
and others (2005) are also plotted in Figure 6 for comparison; they are the curves that terminate at 1060 nm because of the limited spectral range of the radiometer used in the earlier expeditions. The albedo of open water, close to 0.07 at all wavelengths, is due almost entirely to the Fresnel reflection expected for diffuse incident radiation on water with a refractive index of 1.33 (Mironova, 1973). The albedo spectrum of open water is in agreement with that reported by Allison and others (1993) and Brandt and others (2005), and with broadband measurements from other studies (Briegleb and Ramanathan, 1982; Pegau and Paulson, 2001).

In all nilas cases there is a decreasing trend in albedo toward the nIR where ice becomes more absorptive. As nilas thicken, the albedo increases in the UV and visible wavelength regions because increased scattering in the ice prevents some radiation from penetrating through the ice to be absorbed in the underlying water. In the nIR, nilas is an optically thick medium because ice is strongly absorbing at those wavelengths; the albedo is therefore determined not by ice thickness but rather by the SSA, which is probably similar for all the nilas cases examined.

The exception to this general pattern is the albedo spectrum for the thinnest nilas sample, just 0.5–0.8 cm thick. It has an intermediate albedo in the UV and visible, but higher albedo than the other cases in the nIR. The nIR albedo spectrum for 3–6 cm nilas is similar to that of open water, and it appears that the 2.2 cm and 2.2–3 cm nilas would also be similar; however, measurements of those spectra do not extend beyond λ = 1060 nm. A possible explanation for the unusual albedo spectrum of thin nilas is that the down-looking measurement could contain a significant contribution from the snow walls of the lead.

To determine the potential contribution of snow to the nilas spectrum, we produced hypothetical albedo spectra as linear combinations of the spectrum of snow and the spectrum of water, varying their relative contributions. The best match was obtained with snow occupying 9% of the downward-looking hemispheric field of view. The match was not perfect at all wavelengths, but this exercise does suggest that we should ignore the 0.5–0.8 cm spectrum shown in Figure 6 as not being representative of nilas because it was contaminated with snow at the margins of the scene.

There is also a possible slight contamination of the 3–6 cm thick nilas albedo spectrum. There are some bumps in the spectrum from 400 to 800 nm that are not seen in any of the other nilas spectra. We measured this spectrum from the side of an IRB, which was red. The cosine collector likely measured some of the reflected light from the side of the IRB. This contamination is small compared to that in the 0.5–0.8 cm thick nilas case, so we do not exclude the 3–6 cm thick nilas albedo spectra from this analysis.

3.2.3. Frost flowers
Frost flowers (Fig. 2) grow out of a brine skim on bare sea ice when there is a temperature difference of at least 12–16 K between the ice surface and the air directly above the sea ice (Perovich and Richter-Menge, 1994; Rankin and others, 2002). This large temperature difference is easiest to achieve when the ice surface is warm, as is the case for thin nilas. Evaporation from the brine skim quickly deposits as frost onto small bumps on the nearby nilas surface because the air is cold. The frost flowers continue to grow as more deposition accumulates on the crystals. Frost flowers grow when wind speeds are low; otherwise the vapor released into the atmosphere can be transported away before condensing back onto the local ice surface (Perovich and Richter-Menge, 1994). Evidence that the brine skim is the major source of vapor for the frost flowers comes from comparing the nilas surface to nearby snow-covered first-year ice. Although frost crystals did grow on the snow surface during a cold night, they were only a few mm in
height, a factor of \(\frac{1}{4}\) smaller than the massive frost crystals (1–1.5 cm) on the nilas.

We measured frost-flower albedo on two days; on both days the frost flowers were 1–1.5 cm in height, but the nilas on 4 October was more densely coated than on 28 September (Fig. 2). The albedos are shown in Figure 7; comparison to Figure 6 shows that the growth of frost flowers had caused the albedo of nilas to increase by 0.2–0.3 at visible wavelengths.

3.2.4. Slush

Slush (Fig. 8) is formed when snow is blown into a new lead of open water. For pure slush, Figure 9 shows that the UV and visible albedos generally increase with increasing slush thickness because increased scattering reduces the flux of radiation reaching the underlying ocean, but the shape of the albedo spectrum remains similar. In the nIR, slush is optically thick, so there the albedo is determined more by SSA than by thickness.

Figure 9 also displays a spectrum of 3 cm slush over 50 cm ice. This slush was formed in a different manner than the ‘pure slush’ cases; ice of 50 cm thickness floats with its surface above sea level, so snow falling onto it would not be expected to mix with sea water. We accessed this slush-covered ice floe by IRB in the wake of the ship; it is likely that turbulence caused by the ship’s propellers had splashed sea water up onto the floe, wetting the snow. At UV and visible wavelengths the albedo of this surface closely resembles the spectrum of 10 cm slush, but in the nIR the slush-on-ice has higher albedo, indicating larger SSA. The likely cause of the larger SSA (smaller \(r_e\)) is that the snow perched on the ice platform was incompletely wetted.
3.2.5. Three-layer cases

Figure 10 shows two scenes of snow over slush over ice; their albedo spectra are plotted in Figure 11. The albedo spectra appear to be dominated by the snow: at visible wavelengths the visible albedo is much greater for the thicker snow cover but not as high as for deep snow (Fig. 4). In the nIR, where even just 0.5 cm of snow is nearly semi-infinite, its albedo is only slightly lower than for the 2 cm snow. The snow grain size is therefore probably the same in both cases, \( r_s \approx 60 \mu m \), by comparison to figure 8 of Wiscombe and Warren (1980).

3.3. Broadband albedos

Following the procedures of Brandt and others (2005), we integrate the measured spectral albedos over wavelength to obtain albedos for two broad wavelength bands used in climate models (290–700 nm, 700–3000 nm), as well as the average broadband albedo for the entire solar spectrum (290–3000 nm), \( \alpha \):

\[
\alpha = \frac{\int \alpha \lambda F_\lambda \, d\lambda}{\int F_\lambda \, d\lambda}
\]

where \( \alpha \lambda \) is the measured spectral albedo and \( F_\lambda \) is the downward solar spectral irradiance at wavelength \( \lambda \). The broadband albedo depends on the incident solar radiation spectrum, which differs depending on whether the sky is cloudy. Here two solar spectra, one for clear-sky conditions and one for cloudy-sky conditions, characteristic of high-latitude climate during sunlit seasons are used to compute broadband albedos. We use clear and cloudy spectra that were measured at the surface of the Arctic Ocean during summer by Grenfell and Perovich (2008). The Grenfell–Perovich cloudy case can be matched by specifying a cloud of visible optical depth \( \tau = 11 \) in the atmospheric radiation model SBDART (Ricchiazzi and others, 1998); this optical depth is typical for Arctic summer stratus clouds (Tsay and Jayaweera, 1984).

For the broadband solar albedo, the limits of integration in Eqn (2) are 290 nm and 3000 nm, which exceed the spectral range of our measurements, so (following Brandt and others, 2005) we assume that the UV albedo for 290–350 nm is the same as the albedo measured at 350 nm, and that the nIR albedo for 2500–3000 nm is the same as the albedo measured at 2500 nm. The UV assumption is reasonable because ice is nearly non-absorptive in the near-UV and the scattering is independent of wavelength in the visible and UV. The albedo at 2500 nm is near zero for all ice types, and there is little solar flux for \( \lambda > 2500 \) nm, so little error can result from assuming a constant albedo for \( \lambda > 2500 \) nm. The amount of radiation in the wavelength bands that we have extrapolated over is small: <4% of the total solar radiation is contained in the 290–350 nm wavelength band and <0.1% of the total solar radiation is contained in the 2500–3000 nm wavelength band.

Table 1 lists the broadband albedos for each surface type observed during SIPLEX II. The values, particularly for the nIR, are higher under cloudy sky. As mentioned above, clouds absorb nIR radiation at wavelengths where snow and ice are also absorptive. Radiation that has passed through a cloud is
therefore depleted in the wavelengths for which snow and ice have low albedo, so the spectrally averaged albedo is higher under cloudy-sky conditions than under clear-sky conditions (Warren, 1982). In general, the cloudy-sky albedo is more relevant in the Antarctic sea-ice zone because the average cloud cover in spring and summer exceeds 80% (Warren and others, 1988).

The only surface types measured during both SIPEX II and previous ANARE expeditions were open water, nilas and snow-covered thick first-year ice, and their broadband albedos are close to the broadband albedos for those ice types reported by Brandt and others (2005). For this reason, and because on SIPEX II we did not have the opportunity to measure the other ice types reported in tables 1 and 3 of Brandt and others (2005), we see no need to revise the albedos reported in Brandt and others (2005).

4. LIGHT-ABSORBING IMPURITIES IN SNOW

4.1. Method

Vertical profiles of LAI and density in the snowpack were obtained at five ice stations. Established methods described by Doherty and others (2010) were used to quantify the LAI, and some of the following description of the method is taken from that paper. Snow pits were dug upwind of the ship. Facing upwind and wearing clean, dust-free disposable over-gloves, we used a stainless-steel spatula to put snow into plastic bags which could each hold ~1 kg of snow. Sample sizes of 1–11 kg were collected to obtain filter loadings in the range suitable for accurate spectrophotometric measurements. Duplicate samples were typically collected at vertical intervals of 5–10 cm. The top 2 cm of snow was also collected at each snow pit. Additionally, if there was obvious layering (e.g. a thin top layer of newly fallen snow or drift snow), that layer was collected separately, however thin.

The snow was kept frozen until ready for processing in a laboratory aboard the Aurora Australis. The snow from each bag was spooned into a clean glass beaker and melted in a microwave oven. The meltwater was passed through a 0.4 μm Nuclepore filter, using a handpump to create a partial vacuum, and the volume of filtrate was measured. The melting of one bag of snow typically required 5–10 min, and the filtration another 10–20 min. This procedure is designed to minimize the time that meltwater is in contact with glass or plastic, because soot is often hydrophobic and some could be lost to the container walls instead of collected on the filter. Another reason for keeping the snow frozen until it is ready for processing is to avoid algal growth, since algae can change the water chemistry as well as absorbing light themselves.

The transmittance spectrum of each filter was measured in an integrating-sandwich spectrophotometer that was built for this purpose in our laboratory at the University of Washington. This incorporates an integrating sphere as one side of the sandwich (Grenfell and others, 2011). The integrating-sandwich configuration is designed to minimize the effect of scattering by the aerosols on the filter, so that the measured signal is a function only of the losses due to light absorption. A set of standard filters containing known (weighed) amounts of BC in the form of a commercial soot was used to calibrate the system. The spectral shape of the absorption is used to separate BC from non-BC absorbers by the method of Grenfell and others (2011), making use of the enhanced absorption at blue and UV wavelengths by brown carbon and mineral dust.

The spectral shape is characterized by the slope of absorption on a log–log plot across the wavelength domain 450–600 nm, where the spectrophotometer has good accuracy:

\[
\frac{760}{745} = \left( \frac{\lambda_{600}}{\lambda_{450}} \right)^{-\Lambda}
\]

where \( \tau \) is the absorption optical depth on the filter at wavelength \( \lambda \), and \( \Lambda \) is called the absorption Ångström exponent. The separation of contributions by BC and non-BC absorbers is done by assuming that the absorption Ångström exponent for BC is 1.0 and for non-BC light-absorbing aerosol is 5.0. The absorption spectra are then integrated over wavelength, weighted by the incident solar spectrum for \( \lambda < 700 \) nm (the wavelength region where snow albedo is sensitive to impurities) to obtain the fraction of solar absorption by BC and by non-BC impurities (Doherty and others, 2010; Grenfell and others, 2011).

4.2. Results

Table 3 describes the snow properties at each site. Snow was collected at ice stations 1–4 and 7. We did not sample at ice stations 5, 6 and 8 because these stations were only a short distance from others we had already sampled. Samples of size ~1 kg were collected at ice station 1. The filters were visually blank, so at ice stations 2 and 3 larger samples of size 2–4 kg were collected. The filters were still nearly blank, so at ice stations 4 and 7 larger samples of size 8–11 kg were collected; these do show a slight darkening visible by eye.

Table 3 gives the absorption Ångström exponent, the estimated BC mixing ratio, and the fraction of absorption due to non-BC constituents. Because the Ångström exponent for non-BC is variable, causing uncertainty in the BC/non-BC apportionment, we also list a quantity called ‘max BC’. This is the maximum possible BC, under the assumption that all the absorption at 650–700 nm is caused by BC. The choice of 650–700 nm for this assessment is due to the fact that non-BC constituents absorb only weakly in this spectral region.

There is little difference among the sites. The BC amounts are very low, 0.1–0.5 ng g\(^{-1}\), similar to values we found previously on the East Antarctic plateau (Warren and Clarke, 1990; Grenfell and others, 1994; Warren and others, 2006). A median vertical profile of BC, combining results from five sites, is shown in Figure 12. The top (springtime) layers tend to have higher BC mixing ratios than the lower (wintertime) layers. The fraction of particulate absorption due to non-BC is ~40%, similar to values found in the Arctic (Doherty and others, 2010). In the Arctic the non-BC could be organic matter from combustion, vegetation, or mineral dust containing iron oxides. In the Antarctic Ocean it may largely be particulate organic matter from the ocean water and from animals (seals and penguins) occupying the surface of first-year ice.

These values are at the extreme low end of the range of BC mixing ratios found in natural snow, which span four orders of magnitude: 100–1200 ng g\(^{-1}\) in northeast China (Wang and others, 2013), 30–40 ng g\(^{-1}\) in Arctic Russia, 10–15 ng g\(^{-1}\) in Canada, 2–5 ng g\(^{-1}\) in Greenland, 4–16 ng g\(^{-1}\) in snow covering Arctic sea ice (Doherty and others, 2010, table 2) and 0.1–0.7 ng g\(^{-1}\) in Antarctica. Of course it is not surprising that the Antarctic region has the cleanest snow on Earth.
Table 3. The location, date (local time) and snow properties for each ice station where snow on first-year sea ice was collected for impurity analysis. Median values are given for the absorption Ångström exponent (Å), estimated fraction of absorption due to non-black carbon (nonBC) material for wavelengths 350–700 nm, maximum possible black carbon mixing ratio, if all the absorption at 650–700 nm is due to black carbon (maxBC), and our best estimate of the black carbon mixing ratio (estBC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice station No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date (2012)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Å</th>
<th>nonBC</th>
<th>maxBC</th>
<th>estBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.9°S, 119.9°E</td>
<td>25 Sept</td>
<td>0–22 cm: dry snow; 22–38 cm: slush</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64.4°S, 120.1°E</td>
<td>28 Sept</td>
<td>0–1 cm: new snow; 1–25 cm: hard, wind-packed snow</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65.0°S, 121.0°E</td>
<td>3–4 Oct</td>
<td>0–4 cm: new snow; 4–43 cm: hard, wind-packed snow; 43–60 cm: depth hoar</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>42 ± 7</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65.1°S, 120.4°E</td>
<td>8 Oct</td>
<td>0–0.2 cm: hard crust; 0.2–44 cm: hard, wind-packed snow</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>36 ± 10</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65.2°S, 118.6°E</td>
<td>20 Oct</td>
<td>0–61 cm: hard wind-packed snow; 61–76 cm: depth hoar</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>45 ± 10</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median of all sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>38 ± 9</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

The East Antarctic sea-ice zone contains a variety of surface types with broadband solar albedos ranging from 0.06 to 0.87. In the UV and visible wavelength regions, the albedo is most sensitive to ice thickness or snow thickness; thicker ice results in higher albedos. In the nIR wavelength region, the albedo is mainly determined by the SSA (or equivalently, the snow grain size). Just a few centimeters of snow over sea ice strongly influences the albedo spectrum. Thick snow-covered first-year ice was the most common sea-ice type encountered during this voyage, in agreement with the climatology of Brandt and others (2005). The spectral albedo of thick snow on first-year sea ice measured in this study is solely due to the snow, in close agreement with spectral albedo measured for snow on the Antarctic plateau.

Thin nilas has albedo similar to that of open water across all wavelengths, but as the ice thickens, the albedo of nilas increases in the UV and visible wavelength ranges. The growth of frost flowers on nilas causes the albedo to increase by 0.2–0.3 and also leads to an albedo spectrum more similar in shape to that of snow than to that of open water. The frost-flower and snow albedo spectra have distinctive peaks at 1050 nm, 1800 nm and 2250 nm, but these peaks are muted in the nilas and open-water spectra. The albedo of slush increases with increasing slush thickness and has an albedo similar to snow and frost flowers in the UV and visible, but similar to nilas in the nIR. The albedo of ice covered by both slush and snow is influenced by the overlying snow; however, snow cover less than 2 cm is not thick enough to prevent the underlying layers from influencing the albedo.

For the ice types measured in both this SIPEX voyage and our earlier voyages, the broadband albedos are in agreement (Table 1), thus validating the extrapolations into the infrared that were required in the earlier work with a smaller spectral range from the radiometers. The new types measured on this voyage, which had not been measured on earlier voyages, are frost flowers and slush.

Light-absorbing impurities are present in the snow at very low levels, comparable to values found on the East Antarctic plateau and far too low to affect the albedo. The estimated BC mixing ratios are 0.1–0.5 ng of carbon per gram of snow, and ~40% of the light absorption by impurities is caused by non-BC constituents (organic carbon and/or iron species).
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